

ON THE CONFORMAL EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN
2D BLACK HOLES AND RINDLER SPACETIME

Mariano Cadoni and Salvatore Mignemi

*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche,
Università di Cagliari,
Via Ospedale 72, I-09100 Cagliari, Italy.
and
INFN, Sezione di Cagliari.*

ABSTRACT

We study a two-dimensional dilaton gravity model related by a conformal transformation of the metric to the Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger model. We find that most of the features and problems of the latter can be simply understood in terms of the classical and semiclassical dynamics of accelerated observers in two-dimensional Minkowski space.

PACS:04.70.Dy,11.25.-W, 97.60.Lf

E-Mail: CADONI@CA.INFN.IT

E-Mail: MIGNEMI@CA.INFN.IT

In the past few years, a great deal of work has been dedicated to the study of a two-dimensional model of dilaton gravity first proposed by Callan et al. (CGHS) [1]. The reason of this interest is due to the fact that such model provides a good approximation of low-energy scattering from a nearly extremal black hole of four-dimensional string gravity. Such approximation is much more tractable than the original model and in fact permits the investigation of the process of formation and evaporation of a black hole in a semiclassical approximation.

The model is described by the two-dimensional action:

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[e^{-2\phi} (\hat{R} + 4(\hat{\nabla}\phi)^2 + 4\lambda^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum (\hat{\nabla}f_i)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton and f_i are a set of scalar fields.

At the classical level, this model admits an exact solution describing the formation of a black hole caused by a shock wave of incident matter. It is then possible to discuss the Hawking radiation of the black hole by means of the standard semiclassical calculation performed by quantizing the matter fields f_i in the background constituted by the classical solution. As is well known, in this approximation the contribution of the scalars to the energy-momentum tensor is proportional to the one-loop anomaly, which in the conformal gauge is in turn proportional to the curvature of the background metric.

The final result of the calculation is that a constant flux of radiation is emitted, which is independent of the mass of the black hole [1]. This surprising result can be improved by making a better approximation, taking into account the backreaction of the gravitational field to the radiation. This topic has been widely investigated [2].

A further problem arises when one considers conformal transformations of the original metric. As is well known, in fact, a conformal transformation in two dimensions consists essentially in a redefinition of the fields and therefore the physical content of the theory should not depend on it. In particular, if one defines a rescaled metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\phi} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

the action (1) becomes

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \left[e^{-2\phi} R + 4\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum (\nabla f_i)^2 \right]. \quad (3)$$

However, the action (3) admits only flat solutions (in Rindler coordinates) with non-trivial dilaton. It has therefore been argued that the theory defined by (1) should be trivial, since it is equivalent to flat space under conformal transformations and in particular does not admit Hawking radiation, since the conformal anomaly is of course zero for flat space [3], at variance with the results of [1]. In this letter, we wish to clarify this point, by observing that in order to solve the puzzle, one has to take into due account the role of the dilaton field, which should be considered on the same footing as the metric in the discussion of the structure of the spacetime. In particular, the requirement of reality of the dilaton field implies that even flat solutions have a non-trivial structure, since one is forced to

impose a boundary to the spacetime on the curve where $e^{-2\phi}$ changes its sign (from a four-dimensional point of view the line $e^{-2\phi} = 0$ corresponds to the origin of the radial coordinate r). This fact prevents the possibility of performing a change of coordinates to put the Rindler metric in a Minkowski form. But it is well-known that to Rindler coordinates is associated a constant flux of Hawking radiation, which is in this case of purely topological origin, and whose value turns out to coincide with the one calculated from (1). This mechanism is very similar to that discussed in [4] for two-dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetime, in the context of the Jackiw-Teitelboim model.

From our discussion will also emerge that the CGHS vacuum is semiclassically unstable, unless one imposes a priori a cosmic censorship hypothesis (which can however be justified from a four-dimensional point of view). In doing that, we clarify some results obtained in [5] by means of a moving mirror model. Our arguments will also permit us to consistently define a mass for the dilaton Rindler spacetimes, which coincides with that of the conformally related CGHS solution.

The field equations stemming from (3) are:

$$\begin{aligned} R = 0, \quad \nabla^2 f_i &= 0, \\ (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) e^{-2\phi} &= 2\lambda^2 + T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)}$ is the energy-momentum tensor for the fields f_i . The general static solutions of these equations in the Schwarzschild gauge are given, for vanishing f_i , by a locally flat metric and a non-trivial dilaton, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(2\lambda r - c) dt^2 + (2\lambda r - c)^{-1} dr^2, \\ e^{-2\phi} &= 2\lambda r + d. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Without loss of generality, one can take $d = 0$. It is important to notice that the static solutions arise naturally in Rindler coordinates [6]. A change of coordinates $\sigma = \lambda^{-1} \sqrt{2\lambda r - c} \cosh \lambda t$, $\tau = \lambda^{-1} \sqrt{2\lambda r - c} \sinh \lambda t$ brings the metric to the Minkowski form $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2$, but the dilaton becomes time-dependent, $e^{-2\phi} = c + \lambda^2(\sigma^2 - \tau^2)$.

The central point of this paper is however the observation that, if one wishes to have a real dilaton, one is forced to cut the spacetime at the curve where $e^{-2\phi}$ changes its sign ($r = 0$ in the coordinates (5)), so that one cannot obtain the full Minkowski space even by changing coordinates. One can interpret the curve where $e^{-2\phi}$ vanishes as a singularity of spacetime. Indeed this curve corresponds to a true curvature singularity in the CGHS model, as one can easily verify performing the rescaling (2). For $c > 0$, this singularity is shielded by a horizon at $r = c/2\lambda$ and is spacelike, while for $c \leq 0$ it is naked and timelike. In the case $c = 0$ it coincides with the coordinate singularity and is lightlike.

It is also possible to assign a mass to the solutions (5) by means of the ADM procedure. In fact, one can define a conserved mass function [7]:

$$M = \frac{1}{4\lambda} [4\lambda^2 e^{-2\phi} - (\nabla e^{-2\phi})^2],$$

whose value at infinity gives the ADM mass of the solution:

$$M = \lambda c.$$

Of course, the nonvanishing value of the mass is due to the contribution of the dilaton, which cannot be separated from that of the metric.

The temperature at the horizon of the metric (5) can be easily obtained and is independent of c . Its value is given by

$$T = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi}. \quad (6)$$

Both values of M and T agree with those of the conformally related CGHS solutions.

The solution (5) can thus be interpreted as a black hole of mass M and temperature T , with a singularity at the origin of coordinates. If M is negative, a naked singularity is present. The state with $c = 0$ may be interpreted as the ground state of the theory. From a two-dimensional point of view, this choice looks quite arbitrary, since the temperature is independent of the mass. A justification for this choice is provided if one considers the dilaton singularity as a real singularity and imposes a sort of cosmic censorship conjecture, which rules out the $M < 0$ solutions. Indeed, the negative mass solutions correspond to naked singularities of the original four-dimensional theory.

The black hole solutions (5) have been first derived by Mann, Shiekh and Tarasov in the context of a $R = T$ two-dimensional gravity theory in ref.[8], where a pointlike mass with $T \propto M\delta(r)$ acts as source for the gravitational field. Our derivation is however different, in fact from Eqs. (4) one easily realizes that the coupling of matter to the gravitational degrees of freedom is encoded not in the equation for the Ricci scalar but in the equation for the dilaton. This is of course related to the central role played by the dilaton in our model.

The results obtained till now can be readily translated into a conformal gauge, which is more apt to the discussion of the Hawking radiation and to the comparison with the CGHS model. In light-cone coordinates, the metric has the Rindler form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\lambda(y^+ - y^-)} dy^+ dy^-, \quad (7)$$

with dilaton

$$e^{-2\phi} = c + e^{\lambda(y^+ - y^-)}. \quad (8)$$

The coordinates $y^\pm$ are related to the Schwarzschild coordinates by

$$y^\pm = t \pm \frac{1}{2\lambda} \ln(2\lambda r - c).$$

Notice that the boundary at $r = 0$ is not visible in these coordinates if $c > 0$, since they cover only the region of the black hole spacetime outside the horizon at $r = c/2\lambda$.

Once the interpretation of solution (5) as a black hole has been established, at the semiclassical level one would naively expect this black hole to evaporate. The emergence of the Hawking radiation in our simple two-dimensional gravity model is however a point which deserves a careful study. Indeed conformal anomaly arguments have been used to argue that, being the space everywhere flat, there is no Hawking radiation in this model [3]. In the following we will demonstrate, using standard quantization techniques, that the semiclassical dynamics of the black holes naturally gives rise to particle creation with

thermal spectrum. Even though this radiation cannot be interpreted in the usual way as the Hawking radiation seen by an observer in an asymptotically flat region, its existence sheds some light on the properties of the CGHS model and is crucial to understand the physical equivalence of the models related by the rescaling (2).

Let us assume that the black hole is formed by the collapse of a f -wave. For example one can easily construct, using light-cone coordinates, solutions describing the collapse of a f -shock wave at $y^+ = y_0^+$: for $y^+ \leq y_0^+$ the solution is given by the vacuum solution ($c = M = 0$), whereas for $y^+ \geq y_0^+$ a black hole is formed. The key point is that for the vacuum solution the boundary at $r = 0$ is lightlike so that one can introduce coordinates

$$x^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\pm \lambda y^\pm}, \quad (9)$$

to put the solution in the Minkowski form:

$$ds^2 = -dx^+ dx^-, \quad e^{-2\phi} = -\lambda^2 x^+ x^-. \quad (10)$$

The spacetime is of course not complete and has to be thought as ordinary Minkowski space endowed with a lightlike boundary. For $y^+ \geq y_0^+$ a black hole forms and the boundary at $r = 0$ becomes spacelike. The presence of a spacelike boundary prevents the possibility of performing the change of coordinates (9) to put the metric in the Minkowski form. The latter has therefore to be given in the form (7). The coordinates $y^\pm$ cover only the region outside the event horizon which in terms of the coordinates $x^\pm$ is described by the lines $x^+ = 0, x^- = 0$. The coordinates $y^\pm$ give the Rindler coordinatization of Minkowski space. The lines of constant $y = (y^+ - y^-)/2$ represent the world lines of uniformly accelerated observers in Minkowski space, with proper acceleration given by $\lambda e^{-\lambda y}$.

Let us now consider the quantization of a single scalar field f in the fixed background defined by (7). This corresponds to perform the quantization of a scalar field in Rindler space which is by now well known and can be found in the standard textbooks [9]. The quantum field f can be decomposed both in terms of positive frequency Minkowski and Rindler modes. The natural timelike coordinates of the two spaces are related in such a way that a field mode which has positive frequency according to a Minkowski observer inevitably becomes a mixture of positive and negative frequencies according to a Rindler observer. This mixing is then interpreted as particle creation. The Minkowski vacuum $|0_M\rangle$ will therefore appear to a Rindler observer as filled with thermal radiation. The detailed structure of the Hawking radiation is encoded in the Bogoliubov transformation relating $|0_M\rangle$ to the Rindler vacuum $|0_R\rangle$. The final outcome is that a Rindler observer will perceive the Minkowski vacuum as thermally populated with spectrum:

$$\frac{1}{e^{2\pi\omega/\lambda} - 1}. \quad (11)$$

This is precisely the Planck spectrum for radiation at temperature given by (6). When integrated it gives the total flux of f -particle energy:

$$G = \frac{\lambda^2}{48}, \quad (12)$$

which is in agreement with the CGHS result. Our results simply state that the semiclassical behavior of our black holes is described by a quantum field theory of accelerated observers in Minkowski space. The physical interpretation of the associated thermal radiation deserves however careful analysis. It is in fact evident that it cannot be interpreted in the usual way, as the Hawking radiation seen by an observer in an asymptotically flat spacetime region. This prevents the usual interpretation of the Hawking effect as the distortion, due to the black hole geometry, of incoming quantum modes defined in a flat region into outgoing ones defined in another flat region. The point is that in our model the black hole geometry is truly equivalent to that seen by accelerated observers in Minkowski space. The event horizon of the black hole has to be considered as an acceleration horizon. This discussion clarifies a point which appears rather puzzling in the CGHS model. The CGHS black holes have temperature and Hawking flux, given by (6) and (12) respectively, independent of the mass of the black hole; there is no explanation of this fact in CGHS theory. In our model a natural explanation of this fact is at hand: the thermal properties are independent of the mass because the semiclassical dynamics of the black hole is equivalent to that of accelerated observers, they depend only on the parameter λ which defines the proper acceleration of these observers. Moreover our results restore some of the physical intuition about the equivalence of the models under the rescaling of the metric (2). What we have found is that the models (1) and (3) have an equivalent classical and semiclassical dynamics. Indeed the two metrics, being related by a Weyl rescaling, have the same causal structure (the same Penrose diagram) and at the semiclassical level have the same thermal properties.

At first glance our result seems to contradict the well-known relationship between conformal anomaly and Hawking radiation. Being the black hole spacetime everywhere flat the conformal anomaly vanishes and there should be no Hawking radiation. However the presence of thermal radiation in our model is related to a topological effect which is independent of the presence of a conformal anomaly. On the other hand the possibility of having Hawking radiation in globally flat spaces is related to the anomalous transformation law of the quantum energy-momentum tensor T_{--} under coordinates change.

To conclude this letter let us comment about the question of the stability of the ground state in our model and in the related CGHS model. Soon after the discovery of the CGHS model it was realised that the semiclassical ground state of this model is unstable and plagued by the presence of naked singularities [2]. The meaning and the origin of this instability has been further clarified in Ref. [5], where a dynamical moving mirror in flat spacetime was used to model the semiclassical evolution of a CGHS black hole. It was found that vacuum solutions do exist which describe a forever accelerating mirror resulting in an unphysical process of a forever radiating black hole. These features have a natural explanation in the context of our model. Differently from the CGHS case, for which the classical vacuum (the so called linear dilaton vacuum) is a perfectly regular and geodesically complete spacetime, in our model one can define consistently a classical ground state only using a cosmic censorship conjecture to rule out the states with negative mass. Moreover this vacuum does not describe a complete spacetime but Minkowsky space with a light-like boundary. The presence of this boundary is a potential source of instability of the vacuum. This can be easily understood if one allows in the spectrum the states

with negative mass (thus giving up the cosmic censorship conjecture). The dynamics can be now described in terms of the evolution of a timelike boundary in Minkowski space, it is therefore equivalent to the dynamical moving mirrors discussed in ref.[5]. There the authors considered a boundary on the curve where $e^{-2\phi} = C$, where C is an arbitrary positive constant. Comparing with the expression (10) one easily sees that this is equivalent to consider our solutions with negative mass given by $M = -C\lambda$ with a boundary on the curve where $e^{-2\phi} = 0$. The runaway solutions describing a forever accelerating moving mirror found in [5] are therefore related to the infinite tower of black hole states with negative mass, i.e to spacetimes with a timelike boundary at $e^{-2\phi} = 0$. Thus, unless one uses a cosmic censorship hypothesis to discard these states as unphysical, one has to deal with processes in which the black hole mass becomes arbitrarily negative. A similar point of view, stating the role of spacetime singularities acting as regulators forbidding the appearance of unphysical states in the spectrum, has been put forward recently by Horowitz and Myers [10].

References.

- [1] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 1005 (1992);
- [2] J.G. Russo, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 3445 (1992); *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 533 (1993).
- [3] D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3913 (1994); T. Fujiwara, Y. Igarashi and J. Kubo, *Phys. Lett. B* **316**, 66 (1993).
- [4] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, *Phys. Rev. D* (in press), hep-th 9410041.
- [5] T.D. Chung and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys. B* **418**, 305 (1994).
- [6] W. Rindler, *Essential Relativity* (Springer-Verlag, 1969).
- [7] R.B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 4438 (1993); S. Mignemi, preprint INFNCA-TH-94-28.
- [8] R.B. Mann, A. Shiekh and I. Tarasov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **341**, 134 (1990); R.B. Mann, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **24**, 433 (1992).
- [9] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space* (Cambridge Un. Press, 1982).
- [10] G.T. Horowitz and R.C. Myers, gr-qc 9503062.